Existing Rule No. & Provisions in BSNL CDA Rules 2006	Approved Amendment in BSNL CDA Rules 2006(by BSNL Board in its 119 th meeting)
** (Guidelines issued vide No. 257-4/05-O&M/17, dt. 17-9-2004 for composition of Review Committee, may be followed till further orders. However, in place of Sr. DDG (O&M) now CVO(Head of vigilance branch) in BSNL CO, would be the Member.) Rule 36(2) "Whenever the disciplinary authority is of the opinion that there are grounds for inquiring into the truth of any imputation of misconduct or misbehavior against an employee, it may itself inquire into, or appoint any public servant(herein after called the Inquiring Authority) to inquire into the truth thereof."	Rule 30(5)(e): An order of suspension made or deemed to have been made under sub-rule 30(1) or 30(2) of this rule shall not be valid after a period of ninety days unless it is extended after reviews, for a further period before the expiry of ninety days:
	Provided that no such review of suspension shall be necessary in the case of deemed suspension under sub- rule 30(2), if the employee continues to be under detention at the time of completion of ninety days of suspension and the ninety days period in such case will count from the date the employee detained in custody is released from detention or the date on which the fact of his release from detention is intimated to his Appointing Authority, whichever is later. **(Guidelines at Para (4) issued by CVO vide their letter no. 212-52/2007-VM-V dated 17th Aug 2007 for composition of Review Committee may be followed till further orders)
	Rule 36(2) "Whenever the disciplinary authority is of the opinion that there are grounds for inquiring into the truth of any imputation of misconduct or misbehavior against an employee, it may itself inquire into, or appoint any public servant, or appoint any retired employee of DOT/BSNL (herein after called the Inquiring Authority) in consultation with the Chief Vigilance Officer* to inquire into the truth thereof."
	*(As per the directions issued by CVC vide letter No. 98/MSC/23, dated 25-3-03 & dated 1.8.2003/No. 004/VGL/63 dated 18-11-2004)
Rule 36(8) (a) An employee may take the assistance of any other public servant but may not engage a legal practitioner for the purpose, unless the presenting officer appointed by disciplinary authority is a legal practitioner or, the disciplinary authority having regard to circumstances of the case so permits.	Rule 36(8) (a) An employee may take the assistance of any other public servant/retired employee of DoT/BSNL but may not engage a legal practitioner for the purpose, unless the presenting officer appointed by disciplinary authority is a legal practitioner or, the disciplinary authority having regard to circumstances of the case so permits.
Rule 36(8)(b) The employee shall not take the assistance of any public servant who has two pending disciplinary cases on hand in which he has to function as Defence Assistant.	Rule 36(8)(b) The employee shall not take the assistance of any public servant/retired employee of DoT/BSNL who has seven pending disciplinary cases on hand in which he has to function as Defence Assistant.

Meary